

Contents

Preface

Contents

List of figures, maps and tables

1. Introduction	13
1.1 Languages, data and methodology	14
1.2 The transcription and encoding of data	16
1.3 The organisation of the present work	16
2. Contrasting the Finnic languages	19
2.1 Genetic relationship between the Finnic languages	20
2.2 Language ecology 22	
2.3 Typological divergence between the Finnic languages	24
2.4 Basic differences in noun inflection	25
2.5 Key hypotheses concerning diachronic change in the Finnic case and adposition system	29
3. Erosive and preservative forces in morphosyntactic change	32
3.1 Inflectional elements in change	34
3.2 Preservative processes in diachronic change	39
3.2.1 Preservative morphosyntactic change	40
3.2.2 Analogy	41
3.3 Conclusions	43
4. The Finnic adpositional phrase	45
4.1 The syntactic ambiguity of the adpositions	46
4.2 Historical preamble	47
4.2.1 The age of old adpositional stems	47
4.2.2 Suffixed postpositions and their morphological adaptation	50
4.3 Adpositions in a contact-linguistic perspective	53
4.4 Defining the number of adpositions in Finnic	56
4.5 The morphosyntax and case government of adpositions	62
4.5.1 The morphosyntax of postpositional phrases	68

4.5.1.1	The inflection of the noun complement	68
4.5.1.2	The inflection of postpositions	74
4.5.2	The morphosyntax of prepositional phrases and bipositional adpositions	76
4.6	Morphosyntactic change in the Livonian postpositional phrase	84
4.6.1	Remarks on the Livonian genitive-accusative	85
4.6.1.1	The paradigmatic and syntagmatic genitive-accusative	85
4.6.1.2	Genitive attribute and word order	89
4.6.2	The morphosyntactic structure of the Livonian postpositional phrase	92
4.6.2.1	The form of the complement: nominative vs. genitive	95
4.6.2.2	The form of the complement: dative 9	9
4.6.2.3	Dative and adverb	101
4.6.3	Grammatical heads and syntactic morphochange	105
4.7	The morphosyntax of adpositional phrases in comparison to case inflection	109
4.8	Conclusions	114
5.	The evolution of the Veps local case system	116
5.1	Introduction	116
5.2	A review of the historical roots of the Veps local cases	122
5.3	The functional domains of local cases	128
5.3.1	Local cases and spatial relations	132
5.3.2	Local cases and temporal adverbials	135
5.3.3	Local cases and possessive relations	136
5.3.4	Local cases and instrumental relations	139
5.3.5	Exterior local cases and morphosyntactic reanalysis	141
5.3.6	Other semantic roles of the Veps local cases	147
5.4	The merger of case affixes and compensation	151
5.4.1	Syncretism between local cases	154
5.4.2	Functional constraints in recovering the local case system	156
6.	Language contact and morphosyntactic change	161
6.1	Historical impact of language contacts on Finnic	161
6.2	Southern Veps prolative-comitative	162
6.2.1	Prolative in Finnic and Veps	165
6.2.2	From prolative towards comitative and instrumental functions	169
6.2.3	Preliminary conclusions on the development of the prolative-comitative	172
6.2.4	The instrumental in Russian	174
6.3	Contact-induced reanalysis in Livonian	177
6.3.1	Previous conceptions of the history of the Livonian translative-comitative	180
6.3.2	The functional distribution of the translative-comitative	182

6.3.3	The Finnic-Mordvin translative	185
6.3.4	<i>pa</i> and <i>par</i> in Standard Latvian	188
6.3.5	Merger of <i>pa</i> and <i>par</i> in Latvian dialects	192
6.3.6	Development of the translative-comitative 1	93
6.4	Interaction between endogenous and contact-induced change	195
6.4.1	Analogy in reanalysis	196
6.4.2	The gradualness of the development of the Southern Veps prolative-comitative and the Livonian translative-comitative	199
6.5	Conclusions	201
7.	Summary	203
	References	206